Pelvic chemoradiotherapy after chemotherapy for metastatic bladder cancer

Kavitha Passaperuma, BSc,¹ Robert Ash MD,² Varugar Venkatesan, MB,² George Rodrigues, MD,² Eric Winquist, MD³

¹Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada ²Division of Radiation Oncology, Department of Oncology, University of Western Ontario and London Health Sciences Centre, London, Ontario, Canada

³Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Oncology, University of Western Ontario and London Health Sciences Centre, London, Ontario, Canada

PASSAPERUMA K, ASH R, VENKATESAN V, RODRIGUES G, WINQUIST E. Pelvic chemoradiotherapy after chemotherapy for metastatic bladder cancer. The Canadian Journal of Urology. 2006;13(2):3009-3015.

Objective: Consolidative radiotherapy has improved local control in other tumors with high local recurrence rates but has not been well studied in urothelial cancer. We hypothesized that pelvic chemoradiotherapy (PCRT) given after systemic chemotherapy for metastatic bladder cancer (MTCC) might alter the pattern of disease recurrence, and reduce the complications and morbidity of intrapelvic disease relapse. A 74% locoregional relapse rate has been observed in MTCC patients with intrapelvic nodal disease after response to chemotherapy. To explore this hypothesis further, we performed a retrospective analysis and report the efficacy, toxicity and pattern of failure with this approach.

Materials and methods: Patients treated for MTCC who received consolidative PCRT following at least a

Accepted for publication January 2006

Acknowledgements: Ms. K. Passaperuma was funded by the Clinical Cancer Research Program of the London Health Sciences Centre. The authors thank Ms. D. Parrack and Mrs. L. Riehl for their assistance; and Dr. J. Radwan, Dr. M. Lock and Dr. G. Bauman who also treated patients reported in this study.

Address correspondence to Dr. Eric Winquist, London Health Sciences Centre, 790 Commissioners Road East, London, Ontario N6A 4L6 Canada partial response to systemic chemotherapy were identified and their charts reviewed for pelvic relapse, disease progression, survival, and toxicity.

Results: Twelve patients were identified and median follow-up was 15.6 months. Nine patients developed progressive disease and died, and median survival was 15.6 months. Three patients had pelvic progression (pelvic failure rate 25%). Median time to pelvic failure was 12.8 months. At last follow-up, three patients were alive and disease-free. No life-threatening toxicities were observed. The most common acute non-hematological toxicities were diarrhea and nausea.

Conclusions: These data support a hypothesis that consolidative PCRT following chemotherapy in MTCC patients with systemic disease control may be feasible and efficacious for improving pelvic disease control. This intervention should be considered for further study in prospective controlled clinical trials.

Key Words: bladder neoplasms, radiotherapy, drug therapy, pelvic neoplasms

Introduction

Metastatic transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder (MTCC) is chemosensitive with 50% of patients achieving objective response to first-line cisplatinbased combination chemotherapy such as methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin and cisplatin (M-VAC) or gencitabine plus cisplatin (GC).¹ Despite the relatively high response rate, the median survival time is 1 year.¹ Relapse may occur both locoregionally and distantly in lung, liver, bone and the central nervous system.^{1,2} Dimopoulos et al² have reported the pattern of failure in MTCC patients who responded to cisplatin-based chemotherapy, and observed that 74% of patients with nodal disease relapsed locoregionally. These authors speculated that consolidative surgery or radiotherapy might have value in these patients. Aggressive surgical resection of residual disease after a major response to chemotherapy may improve disease control and survival in selected patients with disease confined to the pelvis or lymph nodes.³⁻⁵ However, radical surgery is not technically feasible for most patients, so typically patients achieving remission with chemotherapy are observed with the understanding that disease progression is inevitable and can occur both distantly and locoregionally.

MTCC is known to be radiosensitive, and radiotherapy may provide excellent palliation. Radical radiotherapy is also often offered to medically inoperable patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer, or as a bladder-sparing alternative to radical cystectomy. The ability of radiotherapy to improve local pelvic control in these settings is enhanced by the concomitant administration of cisplatin, which functions as a radiosensitizer.⁶ Consolidative postchemotherapy chemoradiotherapy has resulted in improved local control in other malignancies such as extensive small-cell lung cancer.7 Several small, retrospective studies have investigated the role of postoperative adjuvant radiotherapy in advanced TCC of the upper urinary tract, and have both supported and refuted its benefit in local control and survival.⁸⁻¹⁰

Uncontrolled pelvic cancer is associated with manifold complications including pain, radiculopathy, obstructive uropathy, bowel obstruction and thrombosis. Although pelvic chemoradiotherapy (PCRT) might not be expected to influence the overall survival of patients with MTCC, it could reduce the rate of local progression and pelvic complications. Using this rationale, we have selectively offered consolidative PCRT with the intent of improving local control to patients presenting with MTCC and either an unresected primary bladder tumor or a component of pelvic recurrence. We report the results of these patients' pelvic failure rates, time to pelvic and disease progression, pattern of failure, overall survival, pelvic morbidity and toxicity of consolidative therapy.

Patients and methods

Eligible MTCC patients had either AJCC stage IV (T4b, N1-3 or M1)¹¹ with bladder primary *in situ* or recurrent disease with pelvic involvement, and had achieved

at least a partial response to systemic chemotherapy. Patients included were seen at the London Regional Cancer Centre between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 2003. The study cohort consisted of those patients who also received consolidative pelvic radiotherapy with or without radiosensitizing chemotherapy with the intent of improving local control. Patients were excluded if radiotherapy was given solely for symptom relief. Patients with other active malignancies or who were inevaluable for response to chemotherapy were excluded.

Chemotherapy and radiation treatment were at the discretion of the treating physicians. Patients received chemotherapy until maximal response or unacceptable toxicity intervened. Response to treatment was based on imaging reports and/or clinical assessment, and was classified as either complete or partial. Complete response (CR) was defined as total disappearance of disease at all sites. As not all patients had measurable disease, partial response (PR) was defined as improvement of at least one disease site with no progression or new lesions identified at other sites. After completion of radiotherapy, patients were followed every 3 months with clinical examination and investigations including imaging.

The primary objectives were to determine the pelvic failure rate and the median time to pelvic failure. Secondary objectives were to determine the time to disease progression, overall survival time, pattern of first failure, pelvic morbidity and toxicity of consolidative treatment. Pelvic failure and disease progression was recorded at the date of first clinical or radiological identification. Progression-free status was censored to the date of the last clinical or radiological examination in which it was confirmed. Time to pelvic progression, time to disease progression, and overall survival were measured from the start date of systemic chemotherapy to the date of first pelvic progression, any progression or death, and death; respectively. Toxicity data for consolidative therapy was compiled using routine daily nursing assessment forms and physician progress notes and graded according to the National Cancer Institute common toxicity criteria scale (version 3.0).12 Fisher's exact test was used to compare expected versus observed pelvic failures rates following consolidative radiotherapy. Survival curves were plotted using the Kaplan-Meier method.

Results

Patients

One hundred and one MTCC patients received systemic chemotherapy for either stage IV disease

| Pt. | Clinical stage | Prior therapy | Disease sites | Chemo (cycles) | Resp. |
|-----|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------|-------|
| 1 | T3aN3M1 | None | Pelvic and distant nodes | GCi-28 (8) | PR |
| 2 | T4bN1M1 | Intravesical | Pelvic wall and nodes, distant nodes | GCi-21 (8) | CR |
| 3 | Recurrent | Intravesical, cystectomy | Rectum | GCi-28 (4) | CR |
| 4 | T4aN0M1 | None | Prostate, lung | GCa-21 (8) | PR |
| 5 | TXN1M1 | None | Pelvic and distant nodes | GCi-28 (6) | PR |
| 6 | Recurrent | Cystectomy, pelvic RT | Pelvic nodes | MVAC (5) | PR |
| 7 | Recurrent | Cystectomy | Vaginal wall | MVAC (2) | PR |
| 8 | T4bN3M1 | None | Seminal vesicle, pelvic wall, pelvic and distant nodes | MVAC (2) | PR |
| 9 | T4bN3M1 | None | Pelvic wall, pelvic nodes, pelvic bone | GCi-21 (6) | PR |
| 10 | Recurrent | Cystectomy | Pelvic nodes | GCi-21 (5) | CR |
| 11 | Recurrent | Cystectomy | Pelvic and distant nodes and bone | GCi-28 (5) | PR |
| 12 | T4aN1M0 | None | Seminal vesicles, pelvic nodes | MVAC (2) | PR |

Abbreviations: RT, radiation; GCi, gemcitabine-cisplatin, GCa, gemcitabine-carboplatin; 21/28, day schedules; PR, partial progressive disease.

*Para-aortic nodes also radiated (patient 1: 45,25; patient 11: 33,11).

with an unresected primary bladder tumor or for relapse with a component of pelvic recurrence, and 34 had CR or PR. Twelve patients who received consolidative PCRT constitute the study population, Table 1. The median age was 72 years (range, 56 to 79) and four patients were female. Pelvic disease sites included: pelvic sidewall (three patients); seminal vesicle (two patients); and prostate, vagina, and rectum (one patient each). Seven patients had stage IV disease with an unresected bladder primary. Five patients had locoregional recurrence with or without distant metastases following radical cystectomy. Seven patients had distant disease involving bone (two patients), lung (one patient) and lymph nodes (five patients). Three patients had CR (25%) and nine had PR (75%) to systemic chemotherapy. Four patients received a median of two cycles of M-VAC (range, 2 to 5), all with PR. Eight patients received a median of six cycles of gemcitabine-cisplatin (range, 4 to 8) (3 CR and 5 PR). Five patients were treated on a 21-day schedule¹³ (2 CR and 3 PR) and three on a 28-day schedule (1 CR and 2 PR). One patient with renal dysfunction achieved PR with gemcitabine and carboplatin on a 21-day schedule.¹⁴

Treatment

Pelvic radiotherapy was administered using megavoltage photons with four-field technique. Eleven patients received radiotherapy to a field that consisted of the pelvic tumor site(s) and pelvic lymph nodes at a median dose of 41.6 Gy in 23 daily fractions (range, 25 Gy/10 fractions to 45 Gy/25 fractions). One patient received radiation to the bladder only at a dose of 37.5 Gy in 15 fractions. In two patients, the radiation field included paraaortic lymph nodes (45 Gy/25 fractions and 33 Gy/11 fractions). Seven patients received a boost to the pelvic tumor at a median dose of 12.5 Gy in 5 fractions (range, 10 Gy/ 5 fractions to 17.5 Gy/7 fractions). Nine patients received concurrent chemotherapy with either cisplatin 20 mg/m² IV twice weekly (four patients; median of 9 doses; range, 4 to10) or carboplatin AUC 1.5 IV weekly (five patients; median of 3 doses; range, 3 to 4). Three patients did not receive concurrent chemotherapy due to concerns about increased radiation toxicity following gemcitabine-based systemic chemotherapy.

Pelvic failure rate and time to pelvic failure Median follow-up was 15.6 months (range, 7.3 to 70.4).

| RT (Gy dose, fraction) | | | Initial | Initial Survival (mos.) | | |
|------------------------|---------|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|------------|
| Local | Locoreg | ional | failure site | Disease-free | Overall | Status |
| 55.8,31 | 45,25* | Ca | Liver | 9.8 | 11.4 | DOD |
| 37.5,15 | 25,10 | Ca | None | 42.3 | 42.3 | Alive, NED |
| 45,25 | 45,25 | Ca | Abdominal mesentery | 7.2 | 9.4 | DOD |
| 50,20 | 32.5,13 | Ca | Lung | 10.0 | 11.6 | DOD |
| 37.5,15 | 0 | Ca | Bladder | 15.5 | 15.9 | DOD |
| 41.6,23 | 41.6,23 | Ci | Vaginal wall | 12.8 | 16.3 | DOD |
| 45,25 | 45,25 | Ci | Brain | 9.6 | 15.3 | DOD |
| 60.3,34 | 45,25 | Ci | Bone | 6.9 | 7.3 | DOD |
| 35,15 | 25,10 | | Pelvic bone and nodes | 11.0 | 13.4 | DOD |
| 39.6,22 | 39.6,22 | | Brain | 7.9 | 25.5 | DOD |
| 40,15 | 30,10* | | None | 52.8 | 52.8 | Alive, NED |
| 60,30 | 44,22 | Ci | None | 70.4 | 70.4 | Alive, NED |
| | | | | | | |

response; CR, complete response; Ca, carboplatin; Ci, cisplatin; DOD, dead of disease; NED, no evidence of recurrent or

Three of twelve patients progressed in the pelvis, yielding a pelvic failure rate of 25%. This was significantly less than the nine patients expected based on observations by Dimopoulos et al² and assuming a similar risk in our population (p = 0.039, two-tailed Fisher's exact test). Median time to pelvic failure was

TABLE 2. Pattern of First Failure*

| Failure site Total no. patients | No. patients 9 |
|---|--------------------------|
| Pelvic only | 3 |
| Bladder | 1 |
| Vaginal wall | 1 |
| Pelvic bone | 1 |
| Pelvic nodes | 1 |
| Extrapelvic only | 6 |
| Liver | 1 |
| Lung | 1 |
| Brain | 2 |
| Bone | 1 |
| Abdominal mesentery | 1 |
| *Multiple failures possible | |

12.8 months (range, 11.0 to 15.5). The sites of pelvic first failure were the bladder, vaginal wall, and both pelvic nodes and bone, respectively, Table 2.

Time to disease progression, pattern of failure and overall survival

Nine patients experienced disease progression at any site, with a median progression time of 9.8



Figure 1. Progression-free survival. Circles indicate patients progression-free at last follow-up.





months (range, 6.9 to 15.5), Figure 1. Three patients were alive and disease-free at last follow-up, with a median disease-free survival of 52.8 months (range, 42.3 to 70.4). The most common site of initial progression outside the pelvis was the brain (two patients), with the other sites being liver, lung, bone and abdominal mesentery (one patient each), Table 2. Median overall survival was 15.6 months (95% CI, 11.6 to 25.5), with nine patients dying of progressive bladder cancer and three patients alive and censored to last follow-up, Figure 2.

Pelvic Morbidity

Pelvic complications were observed in all three patients experiencing pelvic progression. Most complications were of grade 3 severity (45%). Two patients had fatigue, anorexia, and pain. One patient experienced increased bone pain (grade 4) due to progression of bony and nodal lesions in the pelvis and was unable to walk. The second patient experienced grade 3 fatigue and developed a vaginorectal fistula with bleeding and pyuria as well as confusion secondary to infection. The third patient experienced progression in the bladder with chronic grade 3 hematuria and developed difficulty walking with grade 3 fatigue, weakness, dehydration, and ultimately died of obstructive renal failure.

Toxicity

Adverse events at least possibly related to consolidative therapy were recorded as worst grade by patient, Table 3. Events were classified as chronic if they occurred greater than 1 month after radiotherapy completion. Sensory neuropathies (e.g. paresthesia, ototoxicity) and complications present at an equal or greater severity at the start of

consolidative therapy were not included. Five patients required treatment breaks from radiotherapy and six patients required breaks from concurrent chemotherapy. Two patients received a blood transfusion. There were no toxic deaths due to consolidative therapy. The most common nonhematological toxicities were diarrhea (eight patients) and nausea (seven patients), followed by constipation, dehydration, fatigue and rash (four patients each). Most adverse events were of mild to moderate severity (47% grade 1, 27% grade 2, 24% grade 3 and 2% grade 4). The most common severe toxicity was myelosuppression. Grade 3 fatigue and weakness were experienced by a patient with febrile neutropenia. One patient had recurrence of deep vein thrombosis during radiotherapy. A patient with grade 3 leg pain and leg edema began consolidative therapy with grade 2 pain and edema. Overall, baseline toxicity was present for 12 of the total 89 adverse events recorded. Seventeen percent of grade 2 adverse events were present at grade 1 severity at the start of consolidative therapy, while 33% of grade 3 toxicities were present at either grade 1 (57%) or grade 2 (43%) severity at baseline. One of the two grade 4 toxicities was present at grade 1 severity at baseline. Five patients experienced chronic complications following consolidative therapy. Listed by patient, these included: grade 2 enteritis and grade 1 suprapubic lymphedema; grade 2 bowel obstruction; grade 3 enteritis; grade 3 cystitis with chronic gross hematuria (likely due to bladder tumor progression); and grade 2 distal ureteric strictures requiring ongoing ureteric stenting.

Discussion

The prognosis of patients with MTCC remains grim. Even with modern chemotherapy, over 90% of patients die within 2 years.¹ Patients with only nodal or nonvisceral metastases have higher response and survival rates.^{3,15} These patients also tend to recur in areas of prior disease following complete clinical response to systemic chemotherapy.^{2,15,16} А prerequisite for long-term survival is the achievement of complete response to treatment. Based on these observations, several studies have investigated the role of surgical resection in such patients following chemotherapy.³⁻⁵ Residual disease was found in 38% and 86% of patients with complete clinical response to chemotherapy, suggesting a need for consolidative therapy following even a major response to chemotherapy.^{4,5} With the use of postchemotherapy surgery to verify complete response to chemotherapy

| Toxicity | | Grade (worst grade by patient) | | | Total | |
|---|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|----|
| - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Non-hematologic | | | | | | |
| Constitutional symptoms: | | | | | | |
| Fatigue | 2 | 1 | 1 | | | 4 |
| Weight loss | 1 | 1 | | | | 2 |
| Gastrointestinal: | | | | | | |
| Anorexia | 1 | 2 | | | | 3 |
| Diarrhea | 7 | 1 | | | | 8 |
| Constipation | 3 | 1 | | | | 4 |
| Dehydration | 4 | | | | | 4 |
| Nausea | 4 | 3 | | | | 7 |
| Vomiting | 3 | | | | | 3 |
| Neurological: | | | | | | |
| Abdominal pain | 1 | 2 | | | | 3 |
| Pain, other | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 3 |
| Muscle weakness | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Renal/genitourinary: | | | | | | |
| Hemoglobinuria | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Urinary frequency/urgency | 2 | 1 | | | | 3 |
| Vaginal bleeding | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Other: | | | | | | |
| Febrile neutropenia | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Rash | 2 | 2 | | | | 4 |
| Edema | 2 | | 1 | | | 3 |
| Thrombus | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Hematologic | | | | | | |
| Leukocytopenia | 1 | 2 | 4 | | | 7 |
| Anemia | 1 | 3 | | | | 4 |
| Thrombocytopenia | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | 6 |
| Neutropenia | 1 | | 3 | 1 | | 5 |
| Lymphopenia | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | | 11 |
| Any toxicity | 42 | 24 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 89 |
| Total no. patients | 11 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| *Multiple toxicities possible; includes | toxicity dat | a for RT with | and without | concurrent ch | emotherapy | |

TABLE 3. Acute toxicity related to consolidative therapy*

or attain complete response following combined chemotherapy and surgery, 5-year survival was achieved in a third of patients.⁴ Consolidative radiotherapy following GC chemotherapy has been prospectively studied in 20 patients with MTCC and was found to yield a 95% complete response rate with an enhanced disease free survival of 21 months and a low toxicity profile.¹⁷

Dimopoulos et al found that 74% of patients with nodal MTCC relapsed locoregionally following response to systemic chemotherapy.² In our study, all MTCC patients had a component of nodal pelvic disease and/or unresected primary cancer *in situ* prior to systemic chemotherapy and consolidative therapy and only 25% relapsed locoregionally. This suggests that consolidative PCRT may improve local disease control and result in fewer locoregional recurrences. The median time to pelvic failure, any failure, and death were 12.8 months, 9.8 months, and 15.6 months; respectively, consistent with published results for systemic chemotherapy alone. Notably, we observed three long-term survivors who have achieved median disease-free survivals of 42, 53 and 70 months. For all three patients, sites of residual disease following chemotherapy were included in the radiation fields. Patients with pelvic progression in our study experienced serious complications including fistula formation, grade 4 pain, and death due to renal failure. Overall, and despite prior systemic chemotherapy, chemoradiotherapy was reasonably well tolerated with no life-threatening toxicities.

Our series is limited by its small sample size, lack of controls, heterogeneity of the chemotherapy and radiation used, and lack of quality of life data. Nevertheless, the low pelvic progression rate supports a hypothesis that consolidative PCRT following systemic chemotherapy may be of value for improving local disease control in selected patients without adding major toxicity. Although survival effects are likely to be minimal, patients could benefit from an improved quality of life by being spared pelvic disease progression and its associated morbidity. This benefit is predicated on minimal toxicities of PCRT outweighed by the benefits of enhanced pelvic tumor control. Surgical resection may be an alternative but is more invasive, and may not be suitable for all patients or feasible at all centers. For MTCC patients with pelvic disease in remission after systemic chemotherapy, benefits and costs of consolidative PCRT for pelvic disease control should be studied further in controlled clinical trials.

References

- 1. von der Maase H, Hansen SW, Roberts JT, Dogliotti L, Oliver T, Moore MJ et al. Gemcitabine and cisplatin versus methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin in advanced or metastatic bladder cancer: results of a large, randomized, multinational, multicenter, phase III study. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;17:3068-3077.
- Dimopoulos MA, Finn L, Logothetis CJ. Pattern of failure and survival of patients with metastatic urothelial tumors relapsing after cis-platinum-based chemotherapy. J Urol 1994;151:598-601.
- Sternberg CN, Yagoda A, Scher HI, Watson RC, Geller N, Herr HW et al. Methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin for advanced transitional cell carcinoma of the urothelium. Efficacy, and patterns of response and relapse. *Cancer* 1989;64:2448-2458.
- 4. Herr HW, Donat SM, Bajorin DF. Post-chemotherapy surgery in patients with unresectable or regionally metastatic bladder cancer. *J Urol* 2001;165:811-814.
- Sweeney P, Millikan R, Donat M, Wood CG, Radtke AS, Pettaway CA et al. Is there a therapeutic role for postchemotherapy retroperitoneal lymph node dissection in metastatic transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder? *J Urol* 2003;169:2113-2117.

- 6. Coppin CML, Gospodarowicz M, James K, Tannock IF, Zee B, Carson J et al. Improved local control of invasive bladder cancer by concurrent cisplatin and preoperative or definitive radiation. *J Clin Oncol* 1996;14:2901-2907.
- Jeremic B, Shibamoto Y, Nikolic N, Milicic B, Milisavljevic S, Dagovic A et al. Role of radiation therapy in the combinedmodality treatment of patients with extensive disease small-cell lung cancer: a randomized study. J Clin Oncol 1999;17:2092-2099.
- 8. Czito B, Zietman A, Kaufman D, Skowronski U, Shipley W. Adjuvant radiotherapy with and without concurrent chemotherapy for locally advanced transitional cell carcinoma of the renal pelvis and ureter. *J Urol* 2004;172:1271-1275.
- 9. Cozad SC, Smalley SR, Austenfeld M, Noble M, Jennings S, Reymond R. Adjuvant radiotherapy in high stage transitional cell carcinoma of the renal pelvis and ureter. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1992;24:743-745.
- 10. Maulard-Durdux C, Dufour B, Hennequin C, Chretien Y, Vignes B, Droz D et al. Postoperative radiation therapy in 26 patients with invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the upper urinary tract: no impact on survival? *J Urol* 1996;155:115-117.
- American Joint Committee on Cancer: Urinary Bladder. AJCC Cancer Staging Handbook, 6th ed. Edited by FL Greene, DL Page, ID Fleming, AG Fritz, CM Balch, DG Haller et al. New York: Springer;2002:367-373.
- 12. National Cancer Institute Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 3.0 (CTCAE-3). http://ctep.cancer.gov/reporting/ ctc.html, 12/12/2003 [Online].
- Winquist E, Wilson JJ, Dorreen M, Wong R, Jonker D, Iscoe N. A 3-week gemcitabine-cisplatin regimen for metastatic urothelial cancer. *Can J Urol* 2004;11(6):2445-2449.
- 14. Carles J, Nogue M, Domenech M, Perez C, Saigi E, Villadiego K et al. Carboplatin-gemcitabine treatment of patients with transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder and impaired renal function. *Oncology* 2000;59:24-27.
- 15. Bajorin DF, Dodd PM, Mazumdar M, Fazzari M, McCaffrey JA, Scher HI et al. Long-term survival in metastatic transitional-cell carcinoma and prognostic factors predicting outcome of therapy. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17:3173-3181.
- 16. Dodd PM, McCaffrey JA, Herr H, Mazumdar M, Bacik J, Higgins G et al. Outcome of postchemotherapy surgery after treatment with methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin in patients with unresectable or metastatic transitional cell carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17:2546-2552.
- 17. Mallick JA, Siddiqui N, Fareed A. Impact of gemcitabine and cisplatin with radiotherapy in locally advanced or metastatic transitional cell carcinoma of urinary bladder [Abstract]. J Pak Med Assoc 2003;53:547.